

PRR-19-00091

Tanashathusson

Referred To:

Date Referred:

City of Hermosa Beach 1315 Valley Drive, Hermosa Beach, CA 90254 > 310.318-0203 - Fax 310.372-6186

Email: recordsrequest@hermosabch.org

Public Records Request

The City of Hermosa Beach encourages public participation in the governing process and provides reasonable accessibility to all public records except those documents which are exempt from disclosure by express provisions of law or considered confidential or privileged under the law. The City is under no obligation to respond to requests which are not focused or specific. The City may withhold documents which are exempt from disclosure under state or federal law, including the attorney—client privilege or any other applicable privilege. The City, in accordance with Government Code Section 6253(b), has ten (10) days to respond to any request for public documents by indicating whether or not the documents exist and will be made available. Actual production of the documents may take somewhat longer depending upon their ease of availability and staff workload. To assist us in providing a timely response to your request, please fill out the form below and indicate the specific record/document you wish to review.

Name (please print):	Email:
Matt McCool	mccool.matt@gmail.com
Address: Will be made public on Aug 13	th. Phone: 310-486-9696
City: Hermosa Beach	Fax: n/a
Record or Document Requested:	
To assist the City with your request, please identify each req	uested record/document separately. Please be as specific as
possible. Non specific inquiries may cause responses to be delayed or may prove to be burdensome and therefore the	
City may not be able to respond. (Additional sheets may be used) Submit all requests to the City Clerk's Office.	
I am respectfully requesting the re-	cord that Thomas Powers reported the
3-14-2014 incident to the police. See attached court document, and	
highlighted section on page 3. This document was provided to me by	
our assistant city attorney, Ms. Jane Abzug.	
Photocopies are \$0.20 per page (Mailing fee, if applicable is \$3.00 plus postage). Fees must be paid before records are released. I agree to pay all applicable fees and charges per the City Council Resolution of Fees for any copies I request of the	
above mentioned document. Accepted method of payment: Cash or check. Credit card accepted in person only.	
MCCOOL.MATTHEW Digitally signed by MCCOOLMATTHEW.TODD 1280758457	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
.TODD.1280758457 DN: c=US, c=US, G=US, G=US, G=US, G=US, Q=US, Q=	
.1000.1200/3043/ Date: 2019.08.07 08:36:30: 07:00	7 AUG 2019
Signature	Date
For Departmental Use Only:	
Action Requested: Action Taken:	ByDate
Review OnlyDocument Reviewed	Non-Existent Document
Copies RequestedCopies Provided	Other (Please Explain)
Refusal/Reason	
For City Clerk's Use Only:	
Date Requestor Notified Notified By:	Date Picked Up or Mailed

LOS ANGELES SUPERIOR COURT

NOV 1_7_2014

Clerk
Dy A. Handers, Deputy

Attorney for Defendant Thomas Joseph Powers

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES TORRANCE COURTHOUSE

PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,

Case No. 454 084 00-61.

Plaintiff,

MOTION IN OPPOSTION TO CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER

.

Date: November 17, 2014

Time: 8:30am Division: 2

THOMAS JOSEPH POWERS,

Defendant.

Defendant submits the following points and authorities in support of MOTION IN OPPOSITION TO CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER. Notice of the prosecution's request for a criminal protective order was provided November 17, 2014. The defense filed and served their opposition on November 17, 2014.

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

26

27

28

Page 1

MOTION IN OPPOSITION TO CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA v THOMAS JOSEPHY POWERS

CASE SUMMARY

On or about March 15, 2014 [hereinafter "THOMAS POWERS"] in alleged to have been in physical altercation with alleged victim [hereinafter "MATTHEW McCOOL"].

On or about September 30, 2014 THOMAS POWERS was mailed NOTICE REGARDING ARRAIGNMENT DATE for November 17, 2014 at 8:30am at Southwest Superior Court for misdemeanor charges of "242 P.C. Battery" [See Attachment 1]. The City of Hermosa Beach did not provide notice in said mailer of their intention to filed a criminal protective order.

On November 11, 2014 the prosecutor requested a criminal protective order be issue and served upon THOMAS POWERS pursuant to Penal Code Section 136.2. Defense Counsel objects to the issuance of a protective order.

First, objection is based on this not being a case of domestic violence. Second, THOMAS POWERS was not provided "notice" of the motion. Third, the Court lacks "good cause" to issue a protective order, as there is absolutely no evidence THOMAS POWERS "attempted to intimidate or dissuade a victim or witness" from testifying. Fourth, there is no evidence THOMAS POWERS is "reasonably likely" to dissuade a victim or witness from testifying.

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I

AT A MINIMUM A DEFENDANT IS ENTITLED TO NOTICE THAT A REQUEST FOR A CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER IS GOING TO BE MADE

[A] Defendant is entitled at a minimum to some notice that a request for a criminal protective order was going to be made so he could prepare for the hearing, where the request was made at a pretrial hearing more than seven months after the alleged crimes, and defendant had appeared at other pretrial hearings where no such request was made.

Babalola v Superior Court (App. 2 Dist. 2011) 121 Cal. Rptr.3d 740, 192 Cal. App. 4th

MOTION IN OPPOSITION TO CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA v THOMAS JOSEPHY POWERS

Zee Z

948.

The protective order is being requested November 17, 2014, same day as arraignment. The prosecutor failed to provide notice of their intention to seek a protective order.

II

A CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER MUST BE BASED ON A FINDING OF GOOD CAUSE TO BELIEVE AN ATTEMPT TO INDIMIDATE OR DISSUADE A VICTIM/WITNESS HAS OCCURRED OR IS LIKELY TO OCCUR

In cases not involving domestic violence, a criminal protective order must be based on a finding of good cause to believe an attempt to intimidate or dissuade a victim or witness has occurred or is reasonably likely to occur, which may be based on the underlying charges and the circumstances surrounding the commission of the charged offenses, but a mere finding of past harm to the victim or a witness is not sufficient. Babalola v Superior Court (App. 2 Dist. 2011) 121 Cal.Rptr.3d 740, 192 Cal.App.4th 948.

This case does not involve "domestic violence", therefore any protective order must be issued after a finding of good cause.

The following is the procedural setting Babalola:

A summary of preliminary hearing testimony regarding defendant's alleged assaults on the alleged victims, the proximity of defendant's and victim's residences, and potential for "bad blood" between defendant and victims did not constitute good cause for issuance of a criminal protective order, where the assaults did not involve domestic violence and defendant himself had called the police to report the incident, absent evidence that defendant attempted to intimidate or dissuade his victims from testifying at trial or that there was any reasonable likelihood that intimidation or dissuasion or any other type of harm to victims would occur in the future. Babalola v Superior Court (App. 2 Dist. 2011) 121 Cal.Rptr.3d 740, 192 Cal.App.4th 948.

In our case, THOMAS POWERS is alleged to have assaulted MATTHEW

MOTION IN OPPOSITION TO CRIMINAL PROTECTIVE ORDER
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA v THOMAS JOSEPHY POWERS

 \mathcal{C}_{g}^{g}

McCOOL on or about March 15, 2014 at Patrick Malloy's Bar in Hermosa Beach.

THOMAS POWERS and THOMAS McCOOL are not family members or in a dating relationship.

CONCLUSION

WAYNE SIMPSON prays this court terminate the criminal protective order and schedule a date and time for a formal hearing on the issuance of a criminal protective order.

Dated: November 17, 2014

EBERHARDI VILLAN

J. Nigel Villahueva Attorney for Defendant

Page 4